

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Titles</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
2.1	Profile of respondents/companies in Phase-I study	21
2.2	Phase-I research process	23
2.3	Profile of respondents/companies in Phase-II study	25
2.4	Phase-II research process	27
2.5	A diagrammatic representation of research process adopted for arriving at location attractiveness of BRIC countries as O&G ESO service providers using Comparative Case Study method	34
2.6	Tree Diagram of Multi-Vari Analysis adopted to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO	38
2.7	Test Selection Chart to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO	38
2.8	Tree Diagram of ANOVA to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO	39
4.1	Political map of Brazil	61
4.2	Brazil's Oil Production and Consumption	64
4.3	Brazil's Natural Gas Production and Consumption	67
4.4	Political map of Russia	68
4.5	Russia's Oil Production and Consumption	73
4.6	Russia's Natural Gas Production	74
4.7	Political map of India	75
4.8	India's Oil Production and Consumption	79
4.9	India's Natural Gas Production and Consumption	81
4.10	Political map of the People's Republic of China	83
4.11	China's Oil Production and Consumption	87
4.12	China's Natural Gas Production and Consumption	90

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Titles</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
4.13	Typical Asset Lifecycle of an O&G project and involvement of the ES industry	92
4.14	Combined R&D spending of select International Oil Companies (BP, Chevron, Exxon Mobil and Shell) and select Oil Field Service Companies (Baker Hughes, Halliburton, Schlumberger)	98
5.1	Phase-1 survey results to determine 'Elements of Location Attractiveness for O&G ESO industry'	102
5.2	Case 1: Annual cost of offshoring (USD per annum cost per fresher engineer – ongoing)	104
5.3	Case 2 : Annual cost of offshoring (USD per annum cost per 10 year experienced engineer – ongoing)	105
5.4	Requirement of Knowledge professionals for Upstream O&G industry	108
5.5	Distribution of Knowledge professionals in O&G Upstream industry	108
5.6	Potential surplus population in working age group (2020)	109
5.7	The regional imbalance of young talent for petrochemical industry	110
5.8	Patent Count (Patents granted) to BRIC nations from 1976 to 2006	118
5.9	Comparative Study of Quality and Process efficiency between BRIC nations for O&G ESO	165
5.10	Major milestones in India for providing incentives for investors in service/manufacturing exports	173
6.1	Results of Normality Test of Survey data collected to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO industry	182
6.2	Results of Data Independence Test of Survey data collected to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO industry	183
6.3	Multi-Vari Chart Analysis of Survey data collected to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO industry	184

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Titles</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
6.4	Result of One-way ANOVA of Survey data collected to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO industry	185
6.5	Boxplot of Survey data collected to determine Location Attractiveness of BRIC Nations for O&G ESO industry	186
6.6	Phase-II Survey result on steps currently adopted by Indian O&G ESO industry	188
6.7	Phase-II Survey result on Government support for O&G ESO industry	189
6.8	Range of O&G ESO services provided by Shaw Rolta Limited	202
6.9	Range of O&G ESO services provided by Quest Global Solutions	203
7.1	Phase-II Survey result on action points for the Indian O&G ESO industry	208
7.2	Phase-II Survey result on action points for the Government to support O&G ESO industry	234
7.3	Proposed collaborative research model for Indian O&G ESO industry	241
