# **CHAPTER 3**

# TRAINING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

### **ABSTRACT**

This chapter includes structured literature review on research themes by introducing training needs assessment theory and processes. The chapter elaborates on the training needs assessment (TNA) models. The second part of the chapter identifies the research gaps and training needs assessment model at the DISCOMs under study.

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Training needs assessment or training needs analysis is the method of determining if training need exists and if it does, what type of training and the duration is required to fill the gap (JICA, 2009). Training needs assessment is one of the most basic and common forms of assessment used by human resource development professionals in the workplace (Gupta, 1999). Assessment ensures that training programs have relevance to the people being trained (Firdousi, 2011). A 'Needs Assessment' provides the information that is usually necessary for designing training programs. The basic purpose to conduct the said assessment is to ensure that training programs are developed based on identified needs; and they are relatively easy to implement (Gupta, 1999). The training need exists when there is a gap between what is required of a person to perform competently and what he actual knows (Nischithaa and Rao, 2014). The expectation of knowledge, skills and abilities of officials at different levels is different so there training needs are also different (World Bank, 2006). Training is essential not only to increase productivity but also to motivate and inspire workers by letting them know how important their jobs are and giving them all the information they need to perform those jobs (Anonymous, 1998). Often some problems are perceived as training problems, when they are not. Some situations will indicate training needs. Some may need non-training solutions. For example: financial aspects, institutional strengthening, providing the right tools etc. Some organizational and individual training needs are not as clearly defined as others. Even when training needs appear to be obvious, it is still necessary to choose appropriate methods that will meet them (McClelland,

2002). Training isn't the answer to a problem when it's used to cover up the symptoms (Rosner, 1999). Thus training needs assessment side-steps mistaken analysis of a non-training problem as a training problem.

## 3.2 TRAINING NEEDS ASSESSMENT – THEORY AND PROCESSES

Training Needs Assessment (TNA) is the systematic study of a problem or innovation, incorporating data and opinions from varied sources in order to make effective decisions or recommendations about what should happen next (Rossett, 1987). TNA is a basic function of training and the first building block upon which the planning of training initiatives is facilitated (Nikolić et al. 2011). Table 3.1 represents research findings of the studies undertaken in the past on training needs analysis, including practices and approaches.

**Table 3.1: Review of Training Needs Assessment Theories (1967 – 2012)** 

S. No.	Author	Year	Dimension(s) and / or Finding(s)
1	Gilbert	1967	Presented four rules for identifying and limiting training needs. Rule 1- the basic definition of training needs; Rule 2 - distinguish between accomplishment and acquirement. Rule 3 - make distinction between knowledge and execution. Rule 4 - economic training priorities must be established since some training needs are more important than others. They used the equation $D = M - I$ (where 'D' stands for Deficiency, 'M' for Mastery and 'I' for
			Initial Skills)
2	Mager	1984	TNA model intended to identify the causes of discrepancies
	and Pipe		between expected and actual performance; between exemplary and
			average performers. The model assumes that training need exists
			only when there is performance.
3	Rossett	1987	By using techniques and tools training needs assessment provides information about training purpose.

4	Wright	1992	TNA should have seven key characteristics: (a) be based mainly
	and		on culture and organizational philosophy; (b) be proactive instead
	Geroy		of reactive; (c) have a method that permits the distinction between
			situations that can be addressed through training and those that
			cannot; (d) allow various organizational actors who are directly or
			indirectly interested and involved in training; (e) be based on
			observable skills rather than a leader, manager or professional
			perception; (f) consider the varied use of sampling techniques and
			data analysis; and (g) in the end, have a cost/benefit analysis.
5	Goldstein	1993	Person-oriented analysis plays a central role in TNA because it
			directly provides the content of training needs, and the information
			provides the performance on the knowledge, ability, and
			competence or skills required in a job.
6	Ibrahim	1996	One method to know whether performance problems can
			potentially be treated by training is by conducting Trainability
			Analysis i.e. calculating training risks if a training solution is
			offered to treat a performance problem. The rationale of
			conducting the analysis is that it saves practitioner's time and
			effort by concentrating only on problems that can be solved by
			training. It also helps to minimize failure of training programs.
			The analysts need to be well-versed and experienced in the field,
			and have access to background information regarding a problem.
			Because, its success depends on the analyst's capability to judge a
			performance problem.
7	Chiu et	1999	A broad theoretical framework for TNA, focusing on: who are the
	al.		key initiators of training-needs analysis?, what are the levels of
			interest in the studies (i.e. organisation, process, group or
			individual)?, what is the intended outcome of the analysis?, and
			finally, what methods of analysis are used?
8	Mathews	2001	TNA is dominated by senior management decision and
	et al.		supervisors' opinions. The skills inventory is the most widely

			applied formal technique. Organizations tend to pay more attention to customers and work groups when defining training needs.
9	Goldstein and Ford	2002	TNA significantly influence training effect and the quality of training courses. In terms of training needs, one should discover which places need training and why is training needed (focusing on organizations), who needs to be trained (focusing on employees), and which training content is needed in the organization (focusing on tasks).
10	Tracey	2004	TNA involves the study of ways of designing and developing instructional and informational programs and materials.
11	Chang et al	2012	It is necessary to construct TNA dimensions to conduct systematic needs analyses according to the dimensions. TNA dimensions include, strategy, performance, and competency. These three dimensions relate to McGehee and Thayer's three-fold analysis.

As can be seen from table 3.1, there has been a continual work by researchers on exploring different theories on TNA. Subsequent to O-T-P approach suggested by McGehee and Thayer (1961) to analyze training needs, Gilbert (1967) had added four rules for identifying and limiting training needs. A decade later, Mager and Pipe (1984) suggested that TNA intends to identify the causes of discrepancies between expected and actual performance. Goldstein (1993) has stressed the importance of Task-oriented analysis, while Ibrahim (1996) suggested conducting trainability analysis to know whether performance problems can be potentially treated by training. Mathews et al. (2001) have pointed out that TNA is dominated by decisions and opinions of senior manager and supervisor. They also found that organizations pay attention to customers and work groups while defining their training needs. Chang et al. (2012) TNA dimensions included-strategy, performance, and competency. These three dimensions relate to McGehee and Thayer's three-fold analysis. The dimension of strategy indicates the organizational level analysis. The dimension of performance indicates the task level analysis. And the dimension of competency indicates individual level analysis. This suggests that the O-T-P model offered by McGehee and

Thayer in 1961 held valid in 2012 even. TNA processes adopted by the industries and other organized sectors in the past years are studied and presented in Table 3.2

**Table 3.2: Review of Training Needs Assessment Processes (1952 – 2015)** 

S. No.	Author	Year	Dimension(s) and / Finding(s)
1	Mahler	1952	Only about one in ten companies reported systematic approaches
	and		to determining training needs. Management requests,
	Monroe		observations, and talks with supervisors were the most used
			techniques to determine training needs.
2	Wood	1956	There is little evidence of the application of research methods for
			training need determination.
3	Bureau of	1962	41% of firms questioned used observation and analysis of job
	National		performance in determining training needs.
	Affairs		
4	Bureau of	1969	Methods of observation and analysis of job performance being
	National		used by 49% of the surveyed companies. These studies seem to
	Affairs		indicate some movement toward a more scientific, formalized
			approach to needs analysis.
5	Deden-	1980	The three-part analysis, O-T-P model was found to be useful in
	Parker		planning, conducting, and analyzing the needs assessment
			process in a corporate training context. It provided for integration
			of management's expressions of felt needs with techniques of
			behavioral task analysis, and with the need to maintain positive
			client involvement. The model served as a way of knowing and
			anticipating 'what comes next' in a complex needs assessment
			process.
6	Schneier	1988	There are some administrative costs associated with TNA. If
	et al.		need assessment is done properly, it has the benefits of
			improving the training programs, improving their efficacy and

			increasing legal defensibility.
7	Bacal	2004	Performance gaps can be identified through logical analysis or
			by collecting information customers and or employees. The
			difference between where you are and where you need to be is
			the performance gap.
8	Lee and	2006	Training needs assessment includes following functions: a)
	Nelson		Gather data on perceived needs. b) Identifying knowledge, skills,
			and behavior discrepancies. c) Assist human resource department
			and trainers or instructors in developing relevant curriculum
			materials. d) Gather information that brings beneficial change to
			an organization or community. e) Assess organizational needs. f)
			Promote buy-in by participants.
9	Sherazi et	2011	Lack in comprehensiveness of TNA results in a low
	al.		achievement of training objectivity.
10	Atoki	2013	The study adopted interpretivist and subjectivist paradigms; both
			linked to qualitative research. A qualitative and inductive
			approach was used to generate in-depth data and information
			from people responsible for training and from those who have
			received training.
11	Csaszar	2015	The methodology applied for the training needs assessment
	et al.		focusses on: a) Identifying major subjects to be addressed in the
			trainings in response to the target groups' interests and needs. b)
			Prioritizing training methods according to their acceptance
			within the target groups.

Mahler and Monroe (1952) reported that only about one in ten companies reported systematic approaches to determining training needs. On the same line Wood in 1956 through his study found that there is little evidence of the application of research methods for training need determination. Bureau of National Affairs in 1969 came up with similar findings, that only half of the surveyed companies were found using observation method and analysis of job performance for identifying training needs. Deden-Parker (1980) suggested a three-part analysis

model for integration of management's expressions of felt needs with techniques of behavioral task analysis. They also stressed on client involvement. Study of Sherazi et al (2011) revealed that the results of the training program are poor when organizations only focus on conducting the personal analysis before starting training programs. The above studies confirmed that organizations do not prefer to conduct TNA as they consider it as an additional cost on company (Schneier et al ,1988). Thus, there is a need to identify the benefits of training needs assessment (Table 3.3) in order to understand the present scenario on implementation of TNA in the training process. As Atoki (2013) and Csaszar et al. (2015) argued to adopt and conduct systematic training needs assessment for its benefits even if it involves additional cost to the company.

**Table 3.3: Review of Training Needs Assessment Benefits (1988 - 2012)** 

S. Author Year Dimension(s) and / Finding(s)	
No.	
1 Warshauer 1988 A good TNA provides the following benefits: (1	) Increasing the
commitment of the management to enable	participants to
participate in training and development co-	ntinuously, (2)
Increasing the visibility of training functions,	(3) Elaborating
critical organizational issues, (4) Making the bes	st use of limited
organizational resources, (5) Providing training	ng courses and
training design ideas, (6) Making training become	e strategies, (7)
providing employees with knowledge and skills	for performing
their duties, (8) Helping an organization fin	d the goal of
performance, and (9) Improving employee relation	ns and morale.
2 Gilly and 1989 A well-executed needs assessment also increases	credibility and
Eggland support for the design of the subsequent tra	aining program
amongst the participants. There is also psychol	logical merit of
doing needs assessment as the process involves	employees and
management both.	
3 Gupta 1999 Some reasons and benefits of performing a needs	assessment are:
a) Determine if the disbursement of money for	the training is

			worth the return on investment. b) Determine who and how
			many will benefit from the training. c) Determine if the training
			will be used in the workplace. d) Specific expectations and goals
			will be set for behavior and performance improvements. e)
			Greater chance of achieving desired results. f) Less chance of
			frustration that comes with using the wrong solution, and failing
			to meet the desired achievements.
4	Elbadri	2001	TNA as the first stage in the training cycle, minimizes errors
			possibly made in the training programs.
5	Grant	2002	Results of a needs assessment can be used in a lot of areas. If
			documented it can help in; curriculum planning, diagnosing
			individual problems, assess trainee learning, demonstrate
			accountability, individual feedback on task and safety in
			operations.
6	Tobey	2005	It establishes the basis for back-end evaluation, which shows
			how needs assessment prepares the stage for evaluation.
7	Tao et al.	2006	Training resources, similar to other resources within the
			organisation, are limited, and to use training resources to the
			paramount benefit, firms need to set an adequate amount of
			effort in training needs assessment (TNA).
8	Sims	2006	Training efforts requires some type of training assessment
			comparing the benefits of the efforts with the projected costs in
			order to determine the long-term implications of the program.
9	Alagheband	2007	It performs required training proportionated with the real needs.
			Start point and initial step in each training schedule is to specify
			training needs which have a very important role in suitable
			designing of training courses and its effectiveness. It can be
			expressed that in reformation and training process, there is no
			task except determining needs
10	Berger	2010	By systematic analyzing training needs, we can identify
			solutions to performance problems that will provide the best

			return on training and development investments.
11	Ferdous	2012	Training needs assessment is the foundation of the entire
	and Razzak		instructional design process. In addition, needs assessment
			provides a database to support or justify resource allocation for
			other human resource functions.

Warshauer (1988), Gilly and Eggland (1989) have concluded that systematic needs assessment increases participants' credibility. Gupta (1999) based on his research, found determining training needs is beneficial for the organization and its people. TNA ensures that investments in training by organizations pay (Elbadri, 2001) as TNA underlines the purposes for taking TNA and areas in which its results can be used (Grant, 2002; Tobey, 2005 and Tao et al. 2006). TNA also facilitates in formulating strategic approach for developing training efforts (Sims 2006). Berger in 2010 also advocated systematic analyzing training needs as Gilly and Eggland did in 1989. Berger's findings that TNA help in identifying solutions to performance problems that will provide the best return on training and development investments is in synchronization with the findings of Elbadri in 2001. Ferdous and Razzak (2012) suggested documentation of training needs content for subsequent trainings and justifying resource allocation for other human resource functions. Thus training needs assessment is all inclusive function.

## 3.3 TRAINING NEEDS ASSESSMENT MODELS

A structured review of literature on various TNA models used in the past years is presented in Table 3.4. The table lists few major studies based on different TNA models and their findings.

**Table 3.4: Review on Training Needs Assessment Models (1961 – 2016)** 

S. No.	Author (s)	Year	Dimension(s) and / Finding(s)
1	McGehee	1961	Suggested a three-fold O-T-P model for training needs assessment.
	and Thayer		The model included three levels - organizational level, task level
			and person level.

2	Wexley	1984	Research poses three questions that should be answered by
			competent training needs assessments: Where within the
			organization can and should training be placed? What should be
			the content of training in terms of what an employee must learn to
			perform the job effectively? Who within the organization needs
			training, and in terms of skills and knowledge, what kind?
3	Mager and	1984	The performance based model focuses on appraising to identify
	Pipe		performance gaps.
4	Brown	1985	A key informant survey was conducted to determine development
			needs of childcare staff in Texas juvenile detention facilities.
			Findings reflect an administrative bias toward juvenile detention
			training needs. Administrators identified those areas of the
			juvenile detention care worker job that have the greatest potential
			for problems or liability. Training needs reflect this problem-
			oriented approach. Research highlights the differences between
			administrative and direct care staff perspectives on priority
			training needs for detention personnel. Administrators appear to be
			more problem-oriented and they have a greater sensitivity to
			liability.
5	Smith et al.	1986	A model is useful because it gives an overview of what is to
			happen, encourages a systematic and complete process, and
			highlights aspects requiring more effort. Because of these benefits,
			we have found a three-stage (Surveillance, Investigation, and
			Analysis) TNA model to be useful.
6	Harless	1987	Performance improvement process (PIP) model, which includes a
			new process, referred to as front-end analysis. The PIP model
			presented a basis on which numerous later models have been
			developed.
7	Wright and	1992	Emphases the importance of overall organizational strategy,
	Geroy		philosophy and culture and embeds these elements in
			organizational TNA decisions.
L	1	1	

8	McClelland	1993	Discussed eleven (11) steps open approach for conducting training
			needs assessment. 1) Define assessment goals. 2) Determine
			assessment group. 3) Determine availability of qualified resources
			to conduct and oversee the project. 4) Gain senior management
			support for and commitment to the process. 5) Review and select
			assessment methods and instruments. 6) Determine critical time
			frames. 7) Schedule and implement. 8) Gather feedback. 9)
			Analyse feedback. 10) Draw conclusions. 11) Present findings and
			recommendations.
9	Goldstein	1993	TNA models have not seen significant changes over the past 40
			years, in terms of theory as well as practice.
10	Guthrie and	1994	They found that respondents in later career stages reported lower
	Schwoerer		training self-efficacy, lower training utility and less need for
			management training.
11	Gilbert	1996	Behavior Engineering Model describes a stimulus-response-
			reinforce relationships among three means of behaviour, i.e.,
			information (stimulus), instrumentation (response), and motivation
			(reinforce) on two levels. The two levels comprise the
			environment (the organization) and the individual. The model
			explains why average performers fall short of standards set by
			exemplary performers.
12	Taylor and	1998	TNA models can be grouped into two major categories: the
	O'Driscoll		organization-task-person analysis (O-T-P) approach and the
			performance analysis approach.
13	Binder	1998	Six Boxes Model described as "A Descendent of Gilbert's
			Behavior Engineering Model." It consists of six boxes, which are
			arranged in two rows of three boxes. The first row examines the
			environment and the second row examines the individual.
14	Holton,	2000	Traditionally, the 'felt' needs approach has been applied which
	Bates and		simply asks employees to list the training they desire. Although
	Naquin		these 'felt' training needs might boost morale, it is unlikely that

			they yield results at the business level because employees may report the training they want and it may differ from the training
			they really need.
15	Yeh	2000	The framework contains three processes with specific output items
			signifying each stage. These stages are- competency
			identification, gap identification and training curriculum
			preparation.
16	Goldstein	2002	It is the most comprehensive as it adds preliminary steps in the
	and Ford		TNA process in addition to the common three levels of analyses.
17	European	2002	TNA model includes four main stages: Implementation of a gap
	Training		analysis, Identification of causes of performance problems or
	Foundation		performance opportunities, Identification of priorities and
			importance, and Identification of possible solutions and growth
			opportunities.
18	McConnell	2003	Created an annual review questionnaire that a training department
			can use as a first step in conducting training needs assessment. It
			enables the training department to ask department managers
			several key questions.
19	Vaughn	2005	An Instructional System Design (ISD) model was proposed, with
			instructions which are comparatively simple.
20	Jamil	2006	Goldstein and Ford's outline of how the two final levels of
			analysis are to be carried out are not dissimilar to other models of
			TNA.
21	Sankar et al.	2006	The assessment was made through a questionnaire which was first
			completed by the person concerned and then followed up with a
			discussion between the consultant, the person and his/her
			supervisor.
22	Olivas	2007	The six stage model has highlighted: a) Determine the Purpose and
			Objective of the Needs Assessment; b) Identify the Kinds of
			Information Needed; c) Design the Data-Gathering Approach; d)
			Gather Data; e) Analyze and Verify Data; f) Set Training

			Priorities.
23	Krishnaveni	2008	Consensus-oriented assessment model is proposed as a valuable
	and		tool for evaluating and improving training and development
	Sripirabaa		activity.
24	Altschuld	2010	Two phase model: The first phase is the diagnostic phase, which is
	and Lepicki		designed to identify inconsistencies in performance and current
			competence levels; the second phase maps these performance gaps
			and competencies by prioritizing them according to business
			requirements.
25	Chang	2012	Three dimensions of TNA were brought up - strategic
			capabilities' needs dimension, performance gap needs dimension,
			and competency gaps' needs dimension.
26	Mufeed and	2013	HRD Climate and HRD Mechanisms should be encouraged in
	Hamdani		both the organizations as it forms a prime element of any
			organization. The top management should make efforts to identify
			and utilize the potential of employees.
27	Veebel et al.	2015	In identifying the training needs, this model focused on assessing
			the current knowledge and the training needs in particular areas.
28	Abdel-	2016	Introduced a systematic approach based on statistical methods to
	Maksoud		the assessment as opposed to the intuitive approach. The new
	and Saknidy		proposed approach is based on identifying weights instead of
			"Error weights". It does not assume any kind of probability
			distribution of marginal values. The new method is applied on
			actual data.

The findings of TNA studies based on different models included in Table 3.4 suggest diverse approaches for training needs determination. The studies compiled above suggest that mainly these models are performance based. They are common on their purpose of benefitting the organization in a systematic and structured approach. For example, performance based model (proposed by Mager and Pipe in 1984 Wexley (1984) has addressed three TNA questions like-Where within the organization should training be placed? What should be the content of

training? and Who needs what kind of training? Brown (1985) has adopted a problem-oriented approach. Whereas Harless (1987) has adopted Performance improvement process (PIP) model, and Wright and Geroy (1992) have emphasized the importance of overall organizational strategy, philosophy and culture. Gilbert (1996) and Binder (1999) too advocated performance analysis model.

The second commonality includes level of analysis presented in different ways by different authors. For example, Smith et al. (1986) developed a three-stage (Surveillance, Investigation, and Analysis) TNA model, Taylor and O'Driscoll (1998), Altschuld and Lepicki (2010) have advocated that TNA is comprised of diagnostic phase and mapping phase.

There have been mainly two theoretical models of TNA, which have been the main sources for the training literature for over five decades. First is the performance based models, second is organization-task-person (O-T-P) Model. Goldstein (1993) believes that TNA models have not seen significant changes over the past 40 years, in terms of theory as well as practice. Leigh et al. (2000) believe that dozens of TNA models exist in the literature for the past three decades and each differs in terms of its level of concentration. Abdel-Maksoud and Saknidv (2016) advocated systematic approach based on statistical methods to the assessment as opposed to the intuitive and behavioral approach.

On the basis of above discussion, the researcher can rationalize which approach out of the twothe performance based training needs assessment model or O-T-P based training needs assessment model - is best fit for determining training needs at power distribution companies in central India.

## 3.3.1 Why O-T-P Model of TNA is used for the present study?

Organization-task-person (O-T-P) Model was first proposed by McGehee and Thayer's in 1961 model and the performance based model was first proposed by Mager and Pipe in 1984. The performance based model focuses on identifying as to why actual performance falls short of

expected performance (Mager and Pipe, 1984; Rummler, 1987; Rummler and Brache, 1990) or why average performers fall short of standards set by exemplary performers (Gilbert, 1978).

In the year 1998, Taylor et al. had advocated that McGehee and Thayer's organization-task-person (O-T-P) Model should be preferred over the performance based model for the following four reasons:

- i. O-T-P Model focuses on training opportunities for continuously improving performance beyond expected levels or that of exemplar individuals or groups.
- ii. Performance based model assumes that causes of performance discrepancies are either lack of knowledge / skills or work environment variables, but not both, which is often the case (Alkinani, 2013).
- iii. Performance based model fails to distinguish between job behaviour and the organizationally-relevant results of job behavior (Mishra 2011).
- iv. Performance based model fails to specify how information can be collected for analysing the causes of performance problems and determining whether training is needed.

O-T-P Model is considered as the core framework for training needs assessment in academic literature (Holton, Bates, and Naquin, 2000). O-T-P Model provides a comprehensive need analysis and increases the likelihood of aligning training with organisational and individual needs (Cheng and Hung, 2012). Most of the models developed after O-T-P Model were initially based upon O-T-P Model (Taylor and O'Driscoll. 1998). According to Ali (2012), O-T-P Model is preferred as it considers future needs of the organization making it strategically useful to organizational goals. Also, the O-T-P Model is preferred over performance based model as it integrates a macro and micro analysis of training needs, which allows the identification of organizational needs, task or job needs, and the particular needs of the individual or person (Clarke, 2003; Ghulfi 2014).

The researcher can safely vouch to follow O-T-P based training needs assessment model to determine training needs of frontline managers at power distribution companies under present study.

On the basis of the above discussion, the third research theme for literature review is, - Training needs assessment for analysing the post-reform training requirements of frontline managers in power distribution companies.

### 3.4 SUMMARY

- 1. As per TNA theory person-oriented analysis plays a central role in TNA because it directly provides the content of training needs, and the information provides the performance requirements necessary for the knowledge, ability and competence or skill of a job.
- 2. As per TNA processes review, organizations do not prefer to conduct TNA as they consider it as an additional cost on company (Schneier et al ,1988). Thus, there is a need to identify the benefits of training needs assessment, although Atoki (2013) and Csaszar et al. (2015) argued to adopt and conduct systematic training needs assessment for its benefits even if it is additional cost to the company.
- 3. Review has shown determining training needs is beneficial for the organization and its people. TNA ensures that investments in training by organizations pay (Elbadri, 2001) as TNA underlines the purposes for taking TNA and areas in which its results can be used (Grant, 2002; Tobey, 2005 and Tao et al. 2006). TNA also facilitates in formulating strategic approach for developing training efforts (Sims 2006). Documentation of training needs content for subsequent trainings and justifying resource allocation for other human resource functions gets addressed. Thus, training needs assessment is all inclusive function.
- 4. There have been mainly two theoretical models of TNA which have been the main sources for the training literature for over five decades. First is the performance based models, and second is organization-task-person (O-T-P) Model.
- 5. Organization-Task-Person (O-T-P) Model is preferred over the performance based model for the present study owing to following four reasons: i) O-T-P Model focuses on training opportunities for continuously improving performance beyond expected levels or that of

exemplar individuals or groups; ii) Performance based model assumes that causes of performance discrepancies are either lack of knowledge / skills or work environment variables, but not both, which is often the case (Alkinani, 2013); iii) Performance based model fails to distinguish between job behaviour and the organizationally-relevant results of job behaviour (Mishra 2011); iv) Performance based model fails to specify how information can be collected for analysing the causes of performance problems and determining whether training is needed.

6. Third research theme for literature review is, 'training needs assessment for analysing the post reform training requirements of frontline managers in power distribution companies.' Chapter 4 presents the structured literature review on three research themes identified in Chapters 1, 2 and 3.